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## Introduction: The OpenFAST Multi-Physics Engineering Tool

- OpenFAST is DOE/NREL's premier open-source wind turbine multi-physics engineering tool
- FAST has undergone a major restructuring, w/ a new modularization framework (v8)
- Framework originally designed w/ intent of enabling full-system linearization, but functionality is being implemented in stages
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## Introduction: Why Linearize?

- OpenFAST primary used for nonlinear timedomain standards-based load analysis (ultimate \& fatigue)
- Linearization is about understanding:
- Useful for eigenanalysis, controls design, stability analysis, gradients for optimization, \& development of reduced-order models

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \underset{u \rightarrow}{\text { Module }} \begin{array}{c}
x, z \\
X, Z, Y
\end{array} \rightarrow y \\
& \dot{x}=X(x, z, u, t) \\
& 0=Z(x, z, u, t) \quad \text { with }\left|\frac{\partial Z}{\partial z}\right| \neq 0 \\
& y=Y(x, z, u, t)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u=\left.u\right|_{o p}+\Delta u \quad \text { etc. } \\
& \Delta \dot{x}=A \Delta x+B \Delta u \\
& \Delta y=C \Delta x+D \Delta u \\
& \text { with }
\end{aligned}
$$

- Verifying implementation
- This work - Linearizing BeamDyn \& coupling
- Related work in parallel - Linearization for FOWT

$$
A=\left.\left[\frac{\partial X}{\partial x}-\frac{\partial X}{\partial z}\left[\frac{\partial Z}{\partial z}\right]^{-1} \frac{\partial Z}{\partial x}\right]\right|_{o p} \text { etc. }
$$

## Approach \& Methods: Operating-Point Determination

- A linear model of a nonlinear system is only valid in local vicinity of an operating point (OP)
- Current implementation allows OP to be set by given initial conditions (time zero) or a given times in nonlinear time-solution
- Note about rotations in 3D:
- Rotations don't reside in a linear space
- FAST framework stores module inputs/outputs for 3D rotations using $3 \times 3$ DCMs ( $\Lambda$ )
- Linearized rotational parameters taken to be 3 small-angle rotations about global $X, Y, \& Z(\Delta \vec{\theta})$
$u=\left.u\right|_{o p}+\Delta u \quad$ for most variables
$\Lambda=\left.\Lambda\right|_{\text {op }} \Delta \Lambda \quad$ for rotations
with
$\left\{\begin{array}{l}x \\ y \\ z\end{array}\right\}=\Lambda\left\{\begin{array}{l}X \\ Y \\ Z\end{array}\right\}$



## Approach \& Methods: Module Linearization

| Module | Linear Features | States ( $x$, z) | Inputs (u) | Outputs (y) | Jacobian Calc. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| InflowWind (IfW) | - Uniform or steady wind | - None | - Positions <br> - Wind parameter disturbances | - Undisturbed (inflow) wind @ input positions <br> - User-selected wind-inflow outputs | - Analytical |
| ElastoDyn (ED) | - Structural dynamics of: o Blades <br> - Drivetrain <br> o Nacelle <br> - Tower <br> o Platform | - Structural degrees-of-freedom (DOFs) \& their $1^{\text {st }}$ time derivatives (continuous states) | - Applied loads along blades \& tower <br> - Applied loads on hub, nacelle, \& platform <br> - Blade-pitch-angle command <br> - Nacelle-yaw moment <br> - Generator torque | - Motions along blades \& tower <br> - Motions of hub, nacelle, \& platform <br> - Nacelle-yaw angle \& rate <br> - Generator speed <br> - User-selected structural outputs (motions \&/or loads) | - Numerical centraldifference perturbation technique* |
| BeamDyn (BD) | - Structural dynamics of blades | - Structural degrees-of-freedom (DOFs) \& their $1^{\text {st }}$ time derivatives (continuous states) | - Motions of blade root <br> - Applied loads along blade | - Blade-root reaction loads <br> - Motions along blade <br> - User-selected structural outputs (motions \&/or loads) | - Numerical centraldifference perturbation technique* |
| AeroDyn (AD) | - Aerodynamic stiffness \& damping <br> - BEM or frozen wake | - Inflow angle along blades (constraint states) | - Motions along blades \& tower <br> - Motions of hub <br> - Undisturbed (inflow) wind along blades \& tower | - Aerodynamic applied loads along blades \& tower <br> - User-selected aerodynamic outputs | - Numerical centraldifference perturbation technique* |

## *Numerical central -difference perturbation technique (see paper for treatment of 3D rotations) <br> $\left.\frac{\partial X}{\partial x}\right|_{o p}=\frac{X\left(\left.x\right|_{o p}+\Delta x,\left.u\right|_{o p},\left.t\right|_{o p}\right)-X\left(\left.x\right|_{o p}-\Delta x,\left.u\right|_{o p},\left.t\right|_{o p}\right)}{2 \Delta x}$ etc.

## Approach \& Methods: Rotation of States in BeamDyn

- Translational \& rotational states in BeamDyn are defined globally in (nonrotating), but are oriented $\mathrm{w} /$ root reference orientation
- For purposes of post-processing with MBC3, BeamDyn states can optionally be transformed to rotating frame during linearization $\Delta x^{R}=\underbrace{\left[\begin{array}{cccc}\left.\Lambda^{\text {Root }}\right|_{o p}\left[\Lambda^{\text {RootR }}\right]^{T} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \left.\Lambda^{\text {Root }}\right|_{o p}\left[\Lambda^{\text {RootR }}\right]^{T} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \left.\Lambda^{\text {Root }}\right|_{o p}\left[\Lambda^{\text {RootR }}\right]^{T} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \left.\Lambda^{\text {Root }}\right|_{o p}\left[\Lambda^{\text {RootR }}\right]^{T}\end{array}\right]}$ (

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
\Delta \dot{x}=A \Delta x+B \Delta u \\
\Delta y=C \Delta x+D \Delta u
\end{array} \quad \Rightarrow \begin{array}{ll}
\Delta \dot{x}^{R}=A^{R} \Delta x^{R}+B^{R} \Delta u \\
\Delta y=C^{R} \Delta x^{R}+D^{R} \Delta u & \text { with }
\end{array} \quad A^{R}=\left.T^{R}\right|_{o p} A\left[\left.T^{R}\right|_{o p}\right]^{T} \quad B^{R}=\left.T^{R}\right|_{o p} B
$$

## Approach \& Methods: Glue-Code Linearization

- Module inputs \& outputs residing on spatial boundaries use a mesh, consisting of:
- Nodes \& elements (nodal connectivity)
- Nodal reference locations (position \& orientation)
- One or more nodal fields, including motion, load, \&/or scalar quantities
- Mesh-to-mesh mappings involve:
- Mapping search - Nearest neighbors are found
- Mapping transfer - Nodal fields are transferred
- Mapping transfers \& other module-to-module input-output coupling relationships have been linearized analytically

$$
\Delta u=\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\Delta u^{(I J W)} \\
\Delta u^{(S r v D)} \\
\Delta u^{(E D)} \\
\Delta u^{(I B D)} \\
\Delta u^{(A D)}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

$$
\left.\frac{\partial U}{\partial \tilde{u}}\right|_{o p}=\left[\left.\begin{array}{ccccc}
I & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{\partial U^{(I J W)}}{\partial \tilde{u}^{(A D)}} \\
0 & I & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & I & \frac{\partial U^{(E D)}}{\partial \tilde{u}^{(B D)}} & \frac{\partial U^{(E D)}}{\partial \tilde{u}^{(A D)}} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{\partial U^{(B D)}}{\partial \tilde{u}^{(B D)}} & \frac{\partial U^{(B D)}}{\partial \tilde{u}^{(A D)}} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{\partial U^{(A D)}}{\partial \tilde{u}^{(A D)}}
\end{array}\right|_{o p}\right.
$$

## Approach \& Methods: Final Matrix Assembly

- $D$-matrices (included in $G$ ) impact
 all matrices of coupled system, highlighting important role of direct feedthrough
- While $A^{(E D)}$ contains mass, stiffness, \& damping of ElastoDyn structural model only, full-system $A$ contains mass, stiffness, \& damping associated w/ full-system coupled aero-servo-elastics, including coupling to BeamDyn mass, stiffness, \& damping \& aerodynamic stiffness \& damping


## Results - Fixed-Free \& Free-Free Beams



Fixed-free

BEAMDYN RESULTS: FIXED-FREE BEAM (REFINE=30)
$\ldots$ FAST prediction:1st mode - FAST prediction: 2 nd mode ——FAST prediction:3rd mode

- Analytical:1st mode $\quad$ - Analytical: 2nd mode $\quad$ - Analytical: 3rd mode
- Note: Strong sensitivity to output precision


Mode Analytical Lineariz'n BD Summary File

25


## Fixed-Free Beam (Hz):

| 1 | 0.5842 | 0.5838 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 2 | 0.5842 | 0.5938 |
| 3 | 3.6607 | 3.6584 |
| 4 | 3.6607 | 3.6584 |
| 5 | 10.2512 | 10.2365 |
| 6 | 10.2512 | 10.2365 |
|  |  |  |
| Free-Free Beam | $(\mathrm{Hz}):$ |  |
| 1 | 3.7171 | 3.6579 |
| 2 | 3.7171 | 3.6579 |
| 3 | 10.2465 | 10.1808 |
| 4 | 10.2465 | 10.1808 |
| 5 | 20.0873 | 19.9459 |
| 6 | 20.0873 | 19.9459 |

## Results - Campbell Diagram of NREL. 5-MW Turbine

- Modules enabled:
- ElastoDyn or ElastoDyn + BeamDyn
- ServoDyn
- Approach
(for each rotor speed):

1) Find periodic steadystate OP
2) Linearize
3) MBC
4) Azimuth-average
5) Eigenanalysis
6) Extract natural frequencies \& damping





## Results: Campbell Diagram of NREL 5-MW Turbine w/ Aero

NREL Onshore 5MW Turbine (ElastoDyn)



- Modules enabled: ElastoDyn + BeamDyn, ServoDyn, AeroDyn
- Approach (for each wind speed): Define rotor speed \& blade-pitch angle $\rightarrow$ Find periodic steady-state OP $\rightarrow$ Linearize $\rightarrow$ MBC $\rightarrow$ Azimuth-average $\rightarrow$ Eigenanalysis $\rightarrow$ Extract natural frequencies \& damping ratios


## Conclusions \& Future Work

- Conclusions:
- Linearization of underlying nonlinear windsystem equations advantageous to:
- Understand system response
- Exploit well-established methods/tools for analyzing linear systems
- Linearization functionality has been expanded to aeroelastically tailored rotors w/n OpenFAST
- Future work:
- Publish journal article on development \& results
- Improved OP through static-equilibrium, steadystate, or periodic steady-state determination
- Eigenmode automation \& visualization
- Linearization functionality for:
- Other important features (e.g. unsteady aerodynamics of AeroDyn)
- Other offshore functionality (SubDyn, etc.)
- New features as they are developed
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